SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Jonathan J. Lerner (JL 7117)
Michael H. Gruenglas (MG 8705)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Phone: (212) 735-3000
Fax: (212) 735-2000

Attorneys for the JAKKS Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FREEPORT PARTNERS, LLC,

Plaintiff, v.

_ _ _ _ _ X

JACK FRIEDMAN, STEPHEN BERMAN, : and JOEL BENNETT, :

Defendants.

- and -JAKKS PACIFIC, INC.,

Nominal Defendant. :

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: _ 4/5/05

Civil Action No. 04 Civ. 9441 (KMK)

STIPULATION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, plaintiff, through its counsel, has filed a purported shareholder derivative complaint (the "Derivative Complaint") against defendants Jack Friedman, Stephen Berman and Joel Bennett (the "Individual Defendants") and nominal defendant

Jakks Pacific, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") in the abovereferenced matter on or about December 2, 2004 (the "Derivative Action");

WHEREAS, the allegations in the Derivative Complaint are based in part on or arise out of the allegations in several purported class action complaints that have been filed in this Court against Defendants, including: (1) Garcia v. JAKKS Pacific.

Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 04-8807 (filed on or about 11/05/2004), (2) Quentum Equities L.L.C. v. JAKKS Pacific. Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 04-8877 (filed on or about 11/09/2004), (3) Kahn v. JAKKS Pacific, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 04-8910 (filed on or about 11/10/2004), (4) Jonco Investors, LLC v. JAKKS Pacific, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 04-9021 (filed on or about 11/16/2004), and (5) Irvine v. JAKKS Pacific, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 04-9021 (filed on or about 11/16/2004) (collectively referred to herein as the "Class Action Complaints");

WHEREAS, the various plaintiffs and their respective counsel in the Class Actions have yet to file any motions for Appointment of Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel as required pursuant to Section 21D of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or to move to consolidate the Class Action complaints pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Defendants

have yet to file any Motions to Dismiss the Class Action Complaints (the "Class Action Motions"), although such Motions are contemplated:

WHEREAS, in the interest of judicial economy and to avoid duplication of effort by the parties and the Court prior to the filing and adjudication of the Class Action Motions, the parties hereto have agreed to set a schedule for the filing of an answer or motion to dismiss the Derivative Complaint and Plaintiff's response thereto;

THEREFORE, the parties by and through their counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

- Defendants shall answer or move in response to the Derivative (30) tive Complaint no later than forty five (45) days after filing their answer to the Class Action Complaints or a consolidated Class Action Complaint, if any such answer is required;
- 2. Plaintiff shall file and serve their opposition to any motion to dismiss the Derivative Complaint no later than twenty (20) thirty (30) days after service of any motion to dismiss the Derivative Action Complaint; and
- 3. Defendants shall file and serve their reply in further support of any motion to dismiss Derivative Complaint no

twonty (7°)
later than thirty (20) days after service of any opposition

to a motion to dismiss the Derivative Action Complaint.

Dated: December 29, 2004

PASKOWITZ & ASSOCIATES

Laurence D. Paskbwitz (LP 7324

60 East 42nd Street

46th Floor

New York, NY 10165

(212) 685-0969

Of Counsel:

Roy L. Jacobs 60 East 42nd Street 46th Floor New York, NY 10165

New York, N1 10165 (212) 867-8343

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER

& FLOM LLP

Ву:

Jonathan J. Lerner (JL 7117) Michael H. Gruenglas (MG 8705)

4 Times Square

New York, New York 10036

(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Jakks Pacific, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: March 3, 7005

Hon. Kenneth M. Karas, U.S.D.J.